Comment Info: =========

General Comment:

March 26, 2008

Vincent J. Fusaro Standardization Section Fresh Products Branch-Fruit & Vegetable Programs Agricultural Marketing Service U.S. Department of Agriculture 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Room1661, South Building, Stop 0240 Washington, D.C. 20250-0240

Reference: February 26th, 2008; Federal Register Vol. 73, No. 38, pgs. 10185-10186

AMS Docket #FV-07-0140

Dear Mr. Fusaro:

On behalf of the California Grape & Tree Fruit League, a voluntary public policy

trade association representing fresh market table grapes and deciduous tree

grown in California, I write in support of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Marketing Service (USDA-AMS) proposal to revise the U.S. Standards for Grades of Table Grapes (European or Vinifera Type) to include a tolerance of 5

percent for table grape shatter from grading as defect in recognition of the evolution of consumer bags and clamshells for product en route or at destination

only. We sincerely appreciate the efforts of the Agricultural Marketing Service

branch of USDA in addressing this issue and for proposing this allowance for table

grape shatter.

Over the past two decades, table grape packaging has made profound improvement in decreasing risk for berry injury, including discoloration, scarring, or

any other outer berry defect as was not the case with traditional higher risk lug

shipments. Today, packaging is uniquely designed to support the character of table grapes throughout the handling process, from the grower to the retailer, ensuring the highest quality product available. The current proposal would correctly shift focus from past shipping methods and appropriately recognize the

risk-reducing advancement in packaging now widely regarded as the standard for the fresh table grape industry.

The California Grape & Tree Fruit League accepts the USDA-AMS proposed 5 percent shatter allowance as a compromise given our industry?s previous requests

to amend grading standards to recognize low shatter levels as separate from defect. We support the current proposal as it seeks to update grading standards

by recognizing a small amount of fresh table grapes detached from the stem as distinct from defect. As with earlier proposals, we continue to support the emphasis placed on the enhancement of grading standards to better reflect quality

of table grape product, with regard to fair recognition of shatter in instances where

the berry remains whole and sound.

We strongly believe defective berries deserve grading reflective of poorer quality

when held in comparison to table grape berries with superior qualities. When affixing a grade to a table grape product, it is held that the grade assigned to the

product is a judgment given at a specific moment to represent berry characteristics. This grade continues to serve as an identity for the berry throughout the handling chain. So, if a berry is judged and a grade synonymous

with high quality is affixed to it then, except for instances of damage where by

grading may change to accurately depict defect. To unfairly profile berries

without

defect would deteriorate grading standards leaving all susceptible to an inadequate

system of judging. Recognizing a small amount of table grape shatter, as different based solely on attachment to the stem, while reflecting uniform standardization used to judge all berries is worthy of support as identified within

the USDA-AMS proposal.

Given the proposed revision to table grape standards is based on providing fair and

accurate grading for table grapes, it is important consideration is given to consumer perception of grape quality in relation to shatter. In a 2005 study commissioned by the California Table Grape Commission, consumers perceived table grape bunches with low levels of shatter to be of excellent quality. Also,

when presented with different sets of product at varying amounts of shatter, consumers saw no difference among grapes with very low shatter levels at 10 percent and below. Through the study, we learned consumers consistently showed preference for table grapes with relatively low shatter levels recognizing

each berry set contained high quality, highly desirable berries.

The current USDA-AMS proposal to recognize a 5 percent shatter allowance for table grapes in consumer packaging en route or at destination is a fair compromise we support on the basis of accurate grading and recognition of evolution in consumer and packaging practices. As grading is representative of

judgment at a given point in time, we believe the 5 percent allowance provides necessary revision to grading standards provided berry characteristics warrant high quality status. This proposed allowance recognizes the reality that even the

most pristine bunch of grapes has some amount of healthy and sound berries

are not attached to the stem. Recognizing shatter alone does not equate to defect

absent berry damage; we believe grading must provide a reflective representation

by which whole and sound table grapes deservingly receive U.S. No. 1 grade distinction.

Opponents of the proposed allowance have stated that shattered berries are more

prone to defects and therefore a shorter shelf life. To recognize this concept by

maintaining the status quo without an allowance for shatter would effectively begin

to look at shatter as a ?potential? defect rather than an existing defect at time of

inspection. This is certainly a different rationale for why shatter was considered a

defect when grapes were sold in plain packs. We believe this ?potential? defect

would be a dangerous precedent that could apply to other grading standards as compared to the precedent that already exists for USDA grading practices for an

allowance; i.e. bagged apples.

In summary, our growers and our association believe that the proposed 5% allowance is a reasonable compromise, especially given our feeling that our previous proposal of a 10% allowance was valid as well, that recognizes the evolution of consumer table grape packaging while assuring that actual defect levels are not changed and consumers, as they have confirmed in looking at small

amounts of shatter, continue to look at table grapes as a high quality product.

However, given the concerns of the wholesalers expressed in this process we would not oppose a five year trial period to verify that the adjustment is serving its

intended purpose. We believe that all parties, after a reasonable amount of time

and considering the minor proposed allowance, will see the reasonableness and fairness of this proposed action.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Barry Bedwell President